Deutsche Bank AG - India Branches
(Incorporated in Germany with limited liability)

Management disclosures under Pillar 3 of the New Capital Adequacy framework vide RBI circular reference DBOD.No.BP.BC.2/21.06.201/2013-14

1. Scope of application

The Bank is a branch of DEUTSCHE BANK A.G. incorporated with limited liability in Germany. India is amongst the largest franchises of Deutsche Bank's presence in about 17 markets in Asia Pacific.

In India, the Bank holds a banking license under the Banking Regulations Act, 1949 and offers a wide variety of services along with its core banking activities. The Indian operations of European-Asian Bank were taken over by Deutsche Bank AG in 1984. The name was then changed to Deutsche Bank AG – India Branches (DB). Being a full-services bank, it has since expanded operations to 16 cities and has 17 branches as at the year-end. Deutsche Bank operates branches in Mumbai, New Delhi, Bangalore, Chennai, Kolkata, Gurgaon, Noida, Aurangabad, Kolhapur, Pune, Salem, Vellore, Ludhiana, Moradabad Surat and Ahmedabad.

DB India's operations predominantly comprises of its wholesale business encompassing Global Markets, Lending and Transaction Banking services, retail banking and private wealth management services.

The BASEL III - Pillar 3 disclosures contained herein relate to Deutsche Bank AG. India Branches for the half year ended September 30, 2013. These are compiled in accordance with the Reserve Bank of India (the 'RBI') regulations on 'Guidelines on Composition of Capital Disclosure Requirements' dated May 28, 2013.

No entities are required to be consolidated with Deutsche Bank AG India Branches for the purpose of accounting/regulatory disclosure requirements

List of Group entities not considered for consolidation both under accounting and regulatory scope of consolidation :

(INR In '000)

Sr. No.	Name of entity	Principal activity of the entity	Total balance sheet equity*	Total balance sheet assets*
1	Deutsche Asset Management (India) Private Limited	Asset management / Portfolio Management	959,999	1,106,976
2	Deutsche Securities (India) Private Limited	Primary dealership in Government securities	2,303,099	7,778,671
3	Deutsche India Holdings Private Limited	Holding company	2,364,742	2,365,502
4	Deutsche Equities India Private Limited	Stock broker / Merchant banking and advisory services	7,455,800	19,575,500
5	Deutsche Investments India Private Limited	Lending loans and advances / Portfolio management	9,783,600	16,951,400
6	Deutsche Investor Services Private Limited	Registrar and transfer agent services / Fund accounting	158,015	301,771
7	RREEF India Advisors Private Limited	Sub advisory services	188,179	189,222
8	Deutsche Trustee Services (India) Private Limited	Act as Trustees of all schemes launched by Deutsche Mutual funds	19,192	24,852.00

9	Deutsche CIB Centre Private Limited	Global processing centre for Back office processing / support services for business lines.	1,982,700	2,971,700
10	DBOI Global Services Private Limited	Global processing centre for back office / IT enabled services	3,006,100	6,545,000

* Figures per audited accounts of March 31, 2013.

2. Capital Structure

a. Summary information on the terms and conditions of the main features of all capital instruments

Tier I Capital primarily comprises of interest free capital received from the Head Office, balance in statutory reserves, capital reserves and remittable surplus retained for CRAR requirement.

Tier II Capital mainly comprises of the Provision on Standard Assets, General Loan Loss Provision and NPA provision reversal on sale of NPA which are created in accordance with the extant RBI guidelines.

b. Details of Capital Funds

(In Rs.'000)

Particulars	30 Sep 2013
Capital - Head Office Account	40,914,087
Statutory Reserve	13,646,869
Capital Reserve	177,207
Remittable Surplus Retained for CRAR requirement	14,380,993
Less: Deferred Tax asset	(1,679,986)
Less: Intangible assets	(141,122)
Tier I Capital	**67,298,048**
Investment Reserve	366,784
Provision on Standard Assets & Country Risk	1,812,712
General Loan Loss Provision	712,260
Provision made on Sale of NPA	577,628
Tier II Capital	**3,469,384**
Total (Tier I + Tier II Capital)	**70,767,432**

3. Capital adequacy

a. Approach to assessing capital adequacy for current and future activities

The Bank is committed to maintaining its sound capitalisation. Therefore, overall capital demand and supply are constantly monitored and adjusted as necessary in line with the strategic, business and capital plans drawn up annually by the bank. It should be noted that Deutsche Bank operates as an integrated Group through its business divisions and infrastructure functions. The local Asset and Liability Committee (ALCO) for the Bank is the primary platform for providing strategic direction

and follow through action relating to the management of the entity's financial resources. Specifically, the ALCO ensures adequate capitalisation to meet current and future business and regulatory requirements and sets limits for capital usage by business. If required, capital requests are prepared and presented to Deutsche Bank Group's Investment Committee for approval.

Stress testing and sensitivity analysis are used to assess the Bank's ability to sustain operations during periods of stress. They provide an insight into the potential impact of significant adverse events on the Bank's earnings, risk profile and capital position.

b. Capital requirements for credit risk, market risk, operational risk, and Capital ratios per New Capital Adequacy framework

The Bank has adopted RBI's prevailing Basel II/III regulations related to Standardised Approach for credit and market risk and Basic Indicator Approach (BIA) for operational risk for computing local regulatory Pillar 1 capital.

The capital ratio as per Basel III is 13.52%

(In Rs.'000)

Particulars	30 Sep 2013
Capital requirement for credit risk (Standardised Approach)	
- Portfolios subject to Standardised Approach	38,555,588
- Portfolios subject to Securitisation exposures	-
Capital requirement for market risk (Standardised Duration Approach)	
- Interest rate risk	3,215,918
- Foreign exchange risk (including gold)	1,350,000
- Equity risk	41,715
Capital requirement for operational risk (Basic Indicator Approach)	3,939,307
Total	**47,102,528**
Common Equity Tier 1	**67,298,048**
Tier I Capital adequacy ratio	**12.86%**
Total (Tier I + Tier II) Capital adequacy ratio	**13.52%**

4. Risk Exposure & Assessment

Risk Management Framework

The wide variety of the Bank's businesses requires it to identify, measure, aggregate and manage its risks effectively, and to allocate capital among the businesses appropriately. The Bank operates as an integrated group through its divisions, business units and infrastructure functions. Risk and capital are managed via a framework of principles, organizational structures and measurement and monitoring processes that are closely aligned with the activities of the divisions and business units:

The Management Board (MB) provides overall risk and capital management supervision for its consolidated Group.

- The Bank operates a three-line of defence risk management model whereby business management, risk management oversight and assurance roles are played by functions independent of one another.

- Risk strategy and risk appetite are defined based on the Group Strategic & Capital Plan and Group Risk Appetite in order to align risk, capital, and performance targets.
- Cross risk analysis reviews are conducted across the Group to ensure that sound risk management practices and a holistic awareness of risk exists.
- All major risk classes are managed in a coordinated manner via risk management processes, including: credit risk, market risk, operational risk, liquidity risk, business risk and reputational risk. This includes risk concentrations within and across risk types.
- Appropriate monitoring and escalation processes are in place against key capital and liquidity thresholds and metrics. Where applicable, robust modelling and measurement approaches for quantifying risk and capital demand are implemented across the major risk classes.
- Effective systems, processes and procedures are a critical component of the Group's risk management capability.

Risk Management Organisation

The Supervisory Board exercises strategic control and supervision of DB Group. It monitors DB's risk and capital profile regularly via its designated subcommittee, the Risk Committee of the Supervisory Board. The chair of the Risk Committee reports on items discussed during the Risk Committee's meetings to the Supervisory Board.

The MB provides overall risk & capital management supervision for the consolidated Group and is exclusively responsible for day to day management of the company with the objective of creating sustainable value in the interest of its shareholders, employees and other stakeholders. The MB is responsible for defining and implementing comprehensive and aligned business and risk strategies, as well as ensuring well-defined risk management functions and operating processes are in place to ensure that DB's overall performance is aligned to its business and risk strategy.

The MB has delegated certain functions and responsibilities to relevant senior governance committees to support the fulfilment of these responsibilities, in particular the Capital and Risk Committee (CaR) and Risk Executive Committee (Risk ExCo):

i. The Group's CaR oversees and controls integrated planning and monitors Deutsche Bank's risk profile and capital capacity including liquidity and funding profile, ensuring an alignment of risk appetite, capitalisation requirements and funding/liquidity needs with the Group, divisional and sub-divisional business strategies. It is also responsible for monitoring the performance of DB Group's risk profile against the Group Risk Appetite through the oversight of early warning indicators and ensuring escalation or actions are taken including the recommendation, where appropriate, to the MB to mobilize Recovery Management Governance which would result in the engagement of the Global Response Committee (GRC).

ii. The Group's Risk ExCo is responsible for identification, analysis and mitigation of risks, risk policy, organization and governance of risk management and day-to-day risk and capital management. To fulfill this mandate, the Risk ExCo is supported by sub-committees that are responsible for dedicated areas of risk management, including several policy committees, the Cross Risk Review Committee (CRCC) and the Group Reputational Risk Committee.

An overlap in membership between the CaR and the Risk ExCo facilitates a constant and comprehensive information flow between both committees.

iii. The Group's CRRC supports the Risk ExCo and the CaR with particular emphasis on the management of Group-wide risk patterns. The CRRC, under a delegation of authority from the

CaR has responsibility for the day-to-day oversight and control of Deutsche Bank Group's Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process ("ICAAP") ensuring compliance with respective regulatory requirements and policy setting for local ICAAPs. The CRRC also oversees the inventory of stress tests used for managing our risk appetite, reviews the results and proposes management action if required. It monitors the effectiveness of the stress test process and drives continuous improvement of our stress testing framework.

Recovery Management Governance has been embedded in the overall risk management framework at DB Group to ensure that DB can proactively identify and respond to severe stress or the threat of a severe stress. The integration of the Recovery Management governance process into the day-to-day risk management framework ensures an effective ongoing oversight of DB's risk profile.

The key elements forming the basis of the Recovery Management governance in DB include:

- Clear roles and responsibilities which include MB oversight;
- A dedicated set of early warning indicators and recovery triggers to monitor potential risks and stimulate management action;
- An enhanced regime of severe stress tests and defined strategic recovery measures to enable proactive management of our risk profile; and
- A dedicated sub-committee of the CaR (the Living Wills Committee) to ensure ongoing monitoring and process readiness.

Key roles and accountabilities include:

- The Living Wills Committee (LWC), a sub-committee of the CaR, ensures standards and on-going process readiness including the updating of tools and methodologies required to ensure effective monitoring. The LWC also ensures that the Recovery Plan complies with regulatory requirements and is responsible for the continuous assessment of the appropriateness of key input factors in the Recovery Plan including, risk factors, scenarios, recovery measures and triggers;
- The CaR is responsible for the oversight and monitoring of the performance of DB's risk profile (under both normal and stressed conditions) against defined qualitative and quantitative recovery triggers approved by the MB. In the case of a breach of the defined triggers or an assessment by the CaR of any other qualitative information that would, in its expert opinion, form the basis of a material risk to DB's risk profile, the CaR would escalate an initial assessment and recommendation of appropriate recovery measures to the MB, and The MB has the ultimate responsibility to approve the movement to Recovery Management Governance. This includes review and approval of the Group Recovery Plan on at least an annual basis, including the menu of recovery measures and the results of the scenario testing to prove the effectiveness of the plan. The MB is responsible for invoking the Recovery Plan which mobilizes the Global Response Committee (GRC) in accordance with the status of the recovery triggers, the mobilization and cessation of recovery governance and decisions on the execution of the strategic recovery measures; and
- The GRC is responsible for the assessment and definition of the required recovery response options and oversees the execution plan. The GRC will continue to evaluate and recommend appropriate actions to the MB until such time as the MB approves the return to the standard risk management governance by exiting the recovery process.

The Group's Chief Risk Officer (CRO) is a member of the MB and has Group-wide responsibility for the management of all credit, market, and operational risks, and as well for the control of risk (including liquidity risks) and continuing development of methods for the risk measurement. In addition, the CRO is responsible for monitoring, analysing and reporting risk on a comprehensive basis, including liquidity, asset and liability gap, capital, legal, compliance and regulatory risks.

Dedicated Risk units are established with the mandate to:

i. Ensure that the business conducted within each division is consistent with the risk appetite that the CaR has set within a framework established by the MB;

ii. Formulate and implement risk and capital management policies, procedures and methodologies that are appropriate to the businesses within each division;
iii. Approve credit, market and liquidity risk limits;
iv. Conduct periodic portfolio reviews to ensure that the portfolio of risks is within acceptable parameters; and
v. Develop and implement risk and capital management infrastructures and systems that are appropriate for each division.

The heads of the Group's Risk units, who are members of the Group's Risk ExCo, are responsible for the performance of the risk management units and report directly to the Group's Chief Risk Officer.

A Strategic Risk & Enterprise-wide Risk Management function, under the lead of the Deputy Chief Risk Officer (DCRO) enabling an increased focus on cross- risk management providing comprehensive, cross-risk oversight to further enhance Deutsche Bank's risk portfolio steering :The DCRO drives key strategic cross-risk initiatives and establishes greater cohesion between defining portfolio strategy and governing execution, including regulatory adherence. The DCRO works in conjunction with all Risk divisions to build on the strong and well established links with Treasury, Legal, Compliance, Government & Regulatory Affairs and Corporate Security & Business Continuity.

The Group's Finance and Audit departments operate independently of both the Group divisions and of the Risk function. The role of the Finance department is to help quantify and verify the risk that the Group assumes and ensure the quality and integrity of the Group's risk-related data. The Group's Audit department performs risk-oriented reviews of the design and operating effectiveness of the Group's system of internal controls.

The Group's Treasury function is responsible for the management and monitoring of capital, liquidity, funding and transfer pricing of Deutsche Bank globally, regionally and locally as defined in the liquidity risk strategy. These four focus areas work closely with all business divisions and infrastructure groups to ensure financial resources are available and adequately geared to DB's strategic goals:

- **Capital**: Treasury manages capital adequacy at Group and local levels and allocates capital to the business divisions.
- **Liquidity**: Treasury ensures the bank can fulfil its payment obligation at all times. All relevant and significant drivers of liquidity risk are regularly stress tested and limits are in place to keep the bank's liquidity position within the Board's risk tolerance and to comply with regulatory liquidity requirements at the Group and local levels.
- **Funding**: Treasury manages DB Group's funding base and plans and executes capital market issuances.
- **Transfer pricing**: Treasury allocates funding and liquidity costs to the firm's business units and sets incentives in line with the liquidity risk framework in order to make the economic cost of funds transparent to the business.

The Group's liquidity risk management approach starts at the intraday level (operational liquidity) managing the daily payments queue, forecasting cash flows and factoring in access to collateral and central banks. It then covers tactical liquidity risk management dealing with access to secured and unsecured funding sources as well as the liquidity characteristics of the asset inventory. The tactical toolbox also includes a detailed liquidity stress test analysis to evaluate the impact of sudden stress events on DB Group's liquidity profile and to ensure that the Group is always equipped to withstand such severe market related, idiosyncratic and combined stress events. Finally, the strategic perspective comprises the maturity profile of all assets and liabilities (Funding Matrix) and the Group's issuance strategy. Fund transfer prices are set to reflect DB Group's cost of funds in the markets, as well as the liquidity risk embedded in the various asset and liability products, and to ensure an efficient allocation of funding to all business portfolios.

The Group's cash-flow based reporting system provides daily liquidity risk information to global and regional management.

Stress testing and scenario analysis plays a central role in our liquidity management framework. This also incorporates an assessment of asset liquidity, i.e. the characteristics of our asset inventory, under various stress scenarios as well as contingent funding requirements from off-balance-sheet commitments. The monthly stress testing results are used in setting our short-term wholesale funding limits (both unsecured and secured) and thereby ensuring we remain within the Group's MB overall liquidity risk tolerance.

Specific Banking Risks

The Group's risk management processes distinguish among four kinds of specific banking risks: credit risk, market risk, operational risk and liquidity risk.

– Credit risk arises from all transactions where actual, contingent or potential claims against any counterparty, borrower or obligor (which we refer to collectively as "counterparties") exist, including those claims that we plan to distribute (see below in the more detailed section Credit Risk). These transactions are typically part of our traditional non-traded lending activities (such as loans and contingent liabilities), or our direct trading activity with clients (such as OTC derivatives, FX forwards and Forward Rate Agreements). We distinguish between three kinds of credit risk:

Default risk is the risk that counterparties fail to meet contractual payment obligations.

Country risk is the risk that DB may experience a loss, in any given country, due to a range of macroeconomic or social events primarily affecting counterparties in that jurisdiction including a possible deterioration of economic conditions, political and social upheaval, nationalization and expropriation of assets, government repudiation of indebtedness, or disruptive currency depreciation or devaluation. Country risk includes transfer risk which arises when debtors are unable to meet their obligations owing to an inability to transfer assets to non-residents due to direct sovereign intervention.

Settlement risk is the risk that the settlement or clearance of transactions will fail. It arises whenever the exchange of cash, securities and/or other assets is not simultaneous.

– Market risk arises from the uncertainty concerning changes in market prices and rates (including interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates and commodity prices), the correlations among them and their levels of volatility.

– Operational risk is the potential for failure (including from the legal component) in relation to employees, contractual specifications and documentation, technology, infrastructure failure and disasters, external influences and customer relationships. Operational risk excludes business and reputational risk.

– Liquidity risk is the risk arising from our potential inability to meet all payment obligations when they come due or only being able to meet these obligations at excessive costs.

Other risks such as Reputational Risk, Business Risk including Strategic Risk and Insurance Risk are also monitored by the Group.

Risk Management Tools

The Bank uses a comprehensive range of quantitative and qualitative methodologies for assessing and managing risks. As a matter of policy, the Group continually assesses the appropriateness and the reliability of its quantitative tools and metrics in light of the Group's changing risk environment. Some of these tools are common to a number of risk categories, while others are tailored to the particular features of specific risk categories.

4.1 Credit risk

a. Credit Risk Management Organisation and structure

Considering the different risk drivers involved in Corporate & Investment Bank ('CIB'), as against Retail Banking ('PBC') and Private Wealth Management ('PWM'), Credit Risk Management ('CRM') is functionally split to cater to the businesses. Within the CRM CIB, there are specialized global units with which the local team has the facility of liaising, on transactions involving Real Estate, Securitisation, Leveraged & Structured Finance, etc.

b. CRM CIB

(i) Credit Risk policies and procedures

All business requests that involve credit risk need to be presented to CRM for its approval. Loan policy is updated annually and is also approved by the local Executive Committee. CRM uses its global ratings model for all risks and every counterpart is internally rated. CRM CIB has a policy of annual reviews of all risk limits. This policy is strictly followed and any overdue reviews are regularly monitored and explained. The annual review is a comprehensive exercise which covers the Industry scenario, key business drivers, key risk factors, business and financial risk (including forex risk), management quality and transparency and a peer analysis along with downside scenarios in projections.

CRM CIB in India has significant delegation of approval authorities, to enable timely credit decisions, based on an understanding of local market conditions. In line with the global policy, CRM takes decisions in India on the 4 eyes principle.

In the event the credit authority of the local CRM team is not adequate to take a decision on complex / structured products, large ticket transactions, etc, the local CRM team forwards its recommendation on the request to senior CRM officers in APAC or Globally, for the final decision, depending on the required delegated authority.

CRM globally operates on the "Batch Strategy' concept, where each Industry / sector is reviewed globally in detail for risk drivers, along with an analysis of DB's exposures in that sector globally – exposure amounts, counterparty ratings, products, risk profile, etc. This system enables DB to quantitatively focus on its global exposures in different Industries / sectors, as well as the credit ratings / facility ratings of the exposures within those sectors.

The Bank globally subjects all risk types covered under its Economic Capital (EC) concept and liquidity risk to regular stress tests. The Bank's stress tests consider macroeconomic, business related and quantitative aspects to derive implications for its risk profile.

Risk limits and exposures on lower rated counterparties are intensively monitored. There is a monthly CRM exercise to discuss all watch-list names and criticized credit exposures. Deutsche Bank in India follows all the exposure norms and provisioning requirements as laid down by the RBI in its master circulars.

Within the CRM CIB portfolio, concentration risk monitoring and mitigation plays an important role. CRM has guidelines in terms of maximum exposures on counterparties at different rating levels, with different levels of market access and in different categories of country risk.

The Bank globally has a separate and independent Asset Quality Review function, which periodically reviews the quality of portfolios globally after intensive review and discussions with the local CRM teams. Based on these reviews, counterparty ratings may be adjusted and inconsistencies resolved, using local / global peer analysis as an effective tool. The timeliness of annual reviews as well as quality of the reviews are also looked into and corrective measures stipulated.

The credit risk assessment of exposures that are off-balance sheet are subject to the same vigorous scrutiny and approval process, as is followed for the balance sheet exposures. There is no differentiation between balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposures in the Bank's risk assessment and monitoring standards.

(ii) Credit risk On Trading Instruments

CRM CIB has global systems in place to monitor the Mark to Market risk on all foreign currency and rates derivative transactions undertaken by the clients. DB uses the Potential Future Exposure at 95% confidence levels as the basis to determine the limit requirements for such products.

Internally, the Bank manages credit risk on all trading instruments by reference to three measures:

- Current Credit Exposure ("CCE"), which is the current value of any contract, at current market rates, as shown in the Bank's records. CCE will be reported net of enforceable collateral, and may be aggregated to reflect enforceable netting arrangements
- Potential Future Exposure ("PFE"), which is an estimate of the Current Credit Exposure that trading instruments could potentially assume in the future
- Stress Testing, which reflects the short term sensitivity of the portfolio CCE to market parameters

To reduce derivatives-related credit risk, the Bank regularly seeks the execution of master agreements (such as the International Swap Dealers Association contract) with clients. A master agreement allows the offsetting of the obligations arising under all of the derivatives contracts that the agreement covers upon the counterparty's default, resulting in one single net claim against the counterparty (called "close-out netting").

For credit exposure measurement purposes, as the replacement values of the portfolios fluctuate with movements in market rates and with changes in the transactions in the portfolios, the Bank also estimates the potential future replacement costs of the portfolios over their lifetimes. This is based on the Current Exposure method as per RBI master circular on Exposure norms.

(iii) Credit rating policy

The Bank's rating system uses a granular, transparent 26 grade rating scale, which is in compliance with the Internal Ratings Based approach in Basel II. The credit ratings are the core element of the Bank's risk management framework, and determine the –

- Level of authority required for approval
- The calculation of Expected Loss and Economic Profit
- The SEC classification (performing / non performing) and FED classification (Special Mention, Sub standard, Doubtful, Loss)

The accuracy and consistency of ratings are ensured through Front End Management, Portfolio Reviews including independent Asset Quality Reviews and Validation by Risk Analytics and Instruments.

Each and every facility in the banking book is rated based on the internal rating model of DB. For each counterparty, the Credit Risk management assigns a Counterparty Probability of Default ('CPD') and for each facility, a Facility Probability of Default ('FPD') is assigned, along with the Loss Given Default ('LGD') and Country of Risk.

The Bank's ratings scale closely mirrors the scales as used by key global rating agencies such as S & P and Moody's.

(iv) Definition and classification of past due and impaired (NPAs)

Loans and Advances are classified into performing and non-performing loans in accordance with the extant RBI guidelines.

Past due advances understood to mean Non Performing Advances are identified by periodic appraisals of the portfolio by the management and appropriate provisions are made which meets the prudential accounting norms prescribed by the RBI for asset classification, income recognition and provisioning after considering subsequent recoveries.

c. CRM PBC - Credit risk policies and procedures

CRM PBC India manages the credit risk of Retail Banking portfolio in India. All lending product launched within PBC are approved by CRM PBC before the launch. Credit Risk policies are clearly documented through Product Program for each product.

The scope of India Policy covers the credit process for the PBC unit in India and details the following.

- Credit Principles
- Generic Credit Process
- Credit Authority Guidelines
- Loan Loss Allowance / Write off guidelines

The precise nature of the credit assessment, decision and monitoring process depends primarily on the type of product, exposure and the existence and quality of collateral.
The credit decision on a loan request involves rule based risk assessment which takes into account the following:

- Customer information given in the application form (general customer data / financial information)
- Information on the borrower’s behaviour (external data/account movements, where available)
- Specific information of the application itself (credit volume / collateral)

When deciding on a loan request, all required information and documents are considered. The credit officer assesses the profile of the applicant and ability to repay the loan based on various reports available, viz. verification, bureau and policy results etc. as part of the loan file.
The portfolio is reviewed at periodic intervals & analysis is made to understand the behaviour of the portfolio in terms of repayment, delinquency, transactions etc.

d. CRM PWM

CRM PWM adopts similar credit risk and rating policies as CRM CIB.

e. Total Gross Credit exposures

(In Rs.’000)

Category	30 Sept 2013
Bills purchased and discounted	86,665,438
Cash credits, overdrafts and loans repayable on demand	65,382,643
Term loans	105,557,462
Inter Bank	14,578,448
HTM Investments	5,038,005
Total Fund-based Exposures	**277,221,996**
Guarantees given on behalf of customers	95,513,765
Acceptances, endorsements and other obligations	123,304,737
Derivative exposures	242,561,071
Undrawn Commitment and others	69,410,466
Total Non-fund based Exposures	**530,790,039**

Exposure for the purposes of tables in this section reflect actual notional, except for derivative exposures which is based on the current exposure method prescribed by RBI vide its master circular on Exposure norms.

The Bank renders its services within one geographical segment and has no offices or significant operations outside India.

f. Industry Type distribution of exposures

(In Rs.'000)
30 September 2013

Industry	Fund-based	Non-fund based including Derivative	Total	Percentage of Total
Retail finance	49,646,569	-	**49,646,569**	6.14%
Crude petroleum/refining & petrochemicals	4,866,166	31,712,675	**36,578,841**	4.53%
Power	4,587,878	7,885,588	**12,473,466**	1.54%
Electronics & Engineering	22,470,276	22,551,905	**45,022,181**	5.57%
Services – finance	15,513,490	22,447,339	**37,960,829**	4.70%
Road, port, telecom, urban development & other infra	5,821,150	18,193,626	**24,014,776**	2.97%
Iron/Steel & Products	5,508,033	7,258,016	**12,766,049**	1.58%
Mutual Funds	38,777	1,513,941	**1,552,718**	0.19%
Bank	89,261,132	265,368,137	**354,629,269**	43.89%
Construction	3,537,287	164,784	**3,702,071**	0.46%
Services - Non finance	13,479,877	26,433,247	**39,913,124**	4.94%
Metal & products (excl iron & steel)	2,571,390	16,160,163	**18,731,553**	2.32%
Chemical & Fertilisers	3,903,263	13,951,332	**17,854,595**	2.21%
Food & beverages	4,980,239	14,936,913	**19,917,152**	2.46%
Wholesale / Retail trade	11,579,163	6,985,019	**18,564,182**	2.30%
Shipping	-	5,935,454	**5,935,454**	0.73%
Manufacturing Products Excl Metal	6,577,250	5,312,612	**11,889,862**	1.47%
Automobiles	8,128,202	16,581,851	**24,710,053**	3.06%
Drugs & Pharmaceuticals	7,203,861	8,096,230	**15,300,091**	1.89%
Textile	2,480,251	5,277,536	**7,757,787**	0.96%
Gems & jewellery	15,582	0	**15,582**	0.00%
Cement	515,479	3,519,823	**4,035,302**	0.50%
Mining	886,845	3,803,312	**4,690,157**	0.58%
FMCG	-	90,949	**90,949**	0.01%
Other industries	13,649,836	26,609,587	**40,259,423**	4.98%
Grand Total	**277,221,996**	**530,790,039**	**808,012,035**	**100%**

g. Residual contractual maturity breaks down of Total Assets* –

(In Rs'000)

Maturity buckets	30 Sept 2013
Day 1	176,471,400
2 to 7 days	7,439,888
8 to 15 days	17,200,811
15 to 28 days	13,782,423
29 days to 3 months	50,015,991
Over 3 months to 6 months	33,237,252
Over 6 months to 12 months	47,787,096

Over 1 Year to 3 Years	87,264,684
Over 3 Years to 5 Years	8,539,993
Over 5 Years	33,774,976
Total	**475,514,514**

*Gross of depreciation on investments

h. Amount of Non Performing Assets

(In Rs'000)
30 Sept2013

NPA Classification	Gross NPAs	Net NPAs
Substandard	434,173	341,756
Doubtful		
- Doubtful 1	40,866	17,360
- Doubtful 2	778,372	-
-Doubtful 3	-	-
Loss	373,992	-
Total	1,627,403	359,385
NPA Ratio	0.63%	0.14%

i. Movement in NPAs

(In Rs'000)

Movement in NPAs (funded)		**30 Sept 2013**
(ii)	Net NPAs to Net Advance (%)	0.1412%
(iii)	Movement of Gross NPAs	
a)	Opening balance	1,543,845
b)	Additions during the year	144,063
c)	Reductions during the year	(60,505)
d)	Closing Balance	1,627,403
(iv)	Movement of Net NPAs	
a)	Opening balance	286,533
b)	Additions during the year	119,956
c)	Reductions during the year	(47,104)
d)	Closing Balance	359,385
(v)	Movement of Provisions for NPAs	
(excluding provisions on standard assets)		
a)	Opening balance	1,257,312
b)	Provisions made during the year	24,107
c)	Write off/write back of excess provisions during the year	(13,401)
d)	Closing Balance	1,268,018

j. Amount of NPIs

(In Rs'000)

Particulars	**30 Sept 2013**
Closing balance for the period	3,000
Total provisions held	3,000
Net book Value	-

k. Movement in Provision for Depreciation on Investments

(In Rs'000)

Provisions for depreciation on investments	**30 Sept 2013**
Opening balance	244,957
Add: Provisions made during the period / year	
Less: Write-off/write back of excess provisions during the period	(3,980)
Closing balance	**240,977**

4.2 Credit risk – Portfolios subject to Standardised Approach

a. Credit rating agencies

The Bank uses short-term and long-term instrument/bank facilities' ratings from CARE, CRISIL, ICRA and India Ratings and Research Private Limited (Fitch) to assign Risk weights in terms of RBI guidelines. In respect of claims on non-resident corporate and foreign banks, ratings assigned by international rating agencies i.e. Standard & Poor's, Moody's and Fitch are used. The Bank uses credit ratings that are publicly available for assigning risk weights.

The Bank assigns Long term credit ratings accorded by the chosen credit rating agencies for assets which have a contractual maturity of more than one year. However, in accordance with the guidelines of RBI the bank classifies all cash credit exposures as long term exposures and accordingly the long term ratings accorded by the chosen credit rating agencies are assigned.

The Bank uses issuer and issue ratings for both fund as well as non fund based exposures. The Bank has used the solicited ratings assigned by the above approved credit rating agencies for all eligible exposures, both on balance sheet and off balance sheet, whether short term or long term, in the manner permitted in the RBI guidelines. The Bank does not have an assigned ratings agency for a given type of claim.

b. Outstanding amounts

Bucket wise break up of exposure amounts subject to the standardised approach is as under.

(In Rs'000)

Exposure Category	**30 Sept 2013**
Below 100% risk weight	121,668,250

100% risk weight	136,190,897
More than 100% risk weight	19,362,849
Total Fund-based Exposures	**277,221,996**
Below 100% risk weight	319,943,507
100% risk weight	201,988,464
More than 100% risk weight	8,858,068
Total Non Fund-based Exposures	**530,790,039**

4.3 Credit risk mitigation policy

a. Collateral valuation and management

As stipulated by the RBI guidelines, the Bank uses the Comprehensive Approach for collateral valuation. Under this approach, the Bank reduces its credit exposure to counterparty when calculating its capital requirements to the extent of risk mitigation provided by the eligible financial collateral.

b. Types of collaterals taken by the Bank and main types of guarantor counterparties and Credit risk concentration within mitigation taken

Collateral Risk Management is undertaken through the mechanism of the Facility Probability of Default (FPD) assignment.

If there is no liquid collateral and no guarantor mitigating the credit risk, then the FPD will be the same as the Counterparty Probability of Default (CPD).

If the facility risk can be shifted to the guarantor, the guarantor CPD becomes the FPD. In cases of received guarantees from un-correlated third parties, covering a separate primary DB exposure, where for the Bank to incur a loss there needs to be a default by both the primary obligor as well as the guarantor, the Joint Default Probability ('JDP') applies. The Bank has in place a matrix indicating this JDP for the entire scale of primary obligor and guarantor CPDs.

The Bank accepts security in the form of charge on receivables / inventories for working capital facilities, charge on fixed assets in certain cases, besides guarantees for various obligations by the primary obligor. The guarantees could be received from the local holding company of the obligor, or a stronger company within the same group or from the MNC parent of the local subsidiary. In certain cases, facilities to obligors may be supported by partial / full insurance protection purchased. Hence, since there are varied sources of credit protection acquired through different guarantors, there is no concentration of guarantor risk.

The Bank records the Joint Obligor Risk Limit on the various guarantors, which ensures that the amounts of guarantees received from various sources are monitored for risk management purposes, e.g. the amount of insurance protection acquired from different insurance companies. The facility ratings for Joint Obligor Risk Limits are determined in accordance with the matrix in the Credit Ratings Policy of the Bank. This matrix captures the counterparty Probability of Default of the obligor as well as that of the guarantor, in determining the FBP.

c. Exposure covered by eligible financial collateral: -

(In Rs'000)

Exposures covered by financial collateral	30 Sept 2013
Exposures before Credit Risk Mitigation Technique	22,053,233

Exposures after Credit Risk Mitigation Technique (after application of haircut on collateral)	5,406,723

d. Details of Loans Securitised (In Rs.'000)

		30 Sept 2013
1	Total number of loan assets securitised	-
2	Total book value of loan assets securitised	-
3	Sale consideration received for the securitised assets	-
4	Net gain/(loss) on account of securitisation	-

4.4 Market risk in trading book –

a. Market risk Management Framework

The Bank uses a combination of risk sensitivities, value-at-risk and stress testing metrics to manage market risks and establish limits. Value-at-risk is a common metric used in the management of trading market risks.

The MB and Group Risk Committee, supported by Group Market Risk Management, which is part of the independent risk management function, set a Group-wide value-at-risk limit for the market risks in the trading book. Group Market Risk Management sub-allocates this overall limit to the Group Divisions. Below that, limits are allocated to specific business lines and trading portfolio groups and geographical regions. In addition to the Bank's main market risk value-at-risk limits, also stress testing and sensitivity limits are operated.

The Bank's value-at-risk for the trading businesses is based on internal model. In October 1998, the German Banking Supervisory Authority (now the BaFin) approved the internal value-at-risk model for calculating market risk capital for the Group for both the general and specific market risks. Since then the model has been periodically refined and approval has been maintained.

b. Types of market risk

Substantially all of the Bank's businesses are subject to the risk that market prices and rates will move and result in profits or losses. The Bank distinguishes among four types of market risk:

- Interest rate risk including credit spread;
- Equity price risk (where applicable);
- Foreign exchange risk; and
- Commodity price risk (where applicable).

The interest rate and equity price risks consist of two components each. The general risk describes value changes due to general market movements, while the specific risk has issuer-related causes.

c. Risk Management Tools

The following are the most important quantitative tools and metrics currently used to measure, manage and report market risk:

- Value-at-Risk. The Bank uses the value-at-risk approach to derive quantitative measures for trading book market risks under normal market conditions. The value-at-risk figures play a role in both internal and external (regulatory) reporting. For a given portfolio, value-at-risk measures the potential future loss (in terms of market value) that, under normal market conditions, will not be exceeded with a defined confidence level in a defined period. The value-at-risk for a total portfolio represents a measure of diversified market risk (aggregated using pre-determined correlations) in that portfolio.

– Stress Testing. While value-at-risk, calculated on a daily basis, supplies forecasts for potential large losses under normal market conditions, it is not adequate to measure the tail risks of the portfolios. The Bank therefore also performs regular stress tests in which it values the trading portfolios under severe market scenarios not covered by the confidence interval of the value-at-risk model.

d. Value-at-Risk Analysis

The value-at-risk approach derives a quantitative measure for the trading book market risks under normal market conditions, estimating the potential future loss (in terms of market value) that will not be exceeded in a defined period of time and with a defined confidence level. The value-at-risk measure enables to apply a constant and uniform measure across all of the trading businesses and products. It also facilitates comparisons of market risk estimates both over time and against the daily trading results.

The Bank calculates value-at-risk using a 99% confidence level and a holding period of one day.

The Bank's value-at-risk model is designed to take into account the following risk factors: interest rates, equity prices, foreign exchange rates and commodity prices, as well as their implied volatilities. The model incorporates both linear and, especially for derivatives, nonlinear effects of the risk factors on the portfolio value. The statistical parameters required for the value-at-risk calculation are based on a 261 trading day history (corresponding to at least one calendar year of trading days) with equal weighting being given to each observation. The Bank calculates value-at-risk using the Monte Carlo simulation technique and assuming that changes in risk factors follow a normal or logarithmic normal distribution.

To determine the aggregated value-at-risk, the Bank uses historically observed correlations between the different general market risk classes. However, when aggregating general and specific market risks, it is assumed that there is zero correlation between them.

The value-at-risk analysis should also be viewed in the context of the limitations of the methodology the Bank uses and are therefore not maximum amounts that can be lost on the market risk positions. The limitations of the value-at-risk methodology include the following:

- The use of historical data as a proxy for estimating future events may not capture all potential events, particularly those that are extreme in nature.
- The assumption that changes in risk factors follow a normal or logarithmic normal distribution. This may not be the case in reality and may lead to an underestimation of the probability of extreme market movements.
- The correlation assumptions used may not hold true, particularly during market events that are extreme in nature.
- The use of a holding period of one day assumes that all positions can be liquidated or hedged in that period of time. This assumption does not fully capture the market risk arising during periods of illiquidity, when liquidation or hedging in that period of time may not be possible.
- The use of a 99 % confidence level does not take account of, nor makes any statement about, any losses that might occur beyond this level of confidence.
- The Bank calculates value-at-risk at the close of business on each trading day. The Bank does not subject intraday exposures to intraday value-at-risk calculations.
- Value-at-risk does not capture all of the complex effects of the risk factors on the value of positions and portfolios and could, therefore, underestimate potential losses.

The Group acknowledges the limitations in the value-at-risk methodology by supplementing the value-at-risk limits with other position and sensitivity limit structures, as well as with stress testing, both on individual portfolios and on a consolidated basis.

The calculated value-at-risk numbers for India are used for internal control purposes only, the calculation of regulatory capital being based on the Standardised Approach specified by the RBI. At the Group level,

however, value-at-risk numbers are used for both internal control and Regulatory Capital calculation for market risk.

e. Back-Testing

The Bank uses back-testing in the trading units to verify the predictive power of the value-at-risk calculations. In back-testing, the hypothetical daily profits and losses are compared under the buy-and-hold assumption with the estimates from the value-at-risk model. The Bank analyzes performance fluctuations and assesses the predictive power of the value-at-risk model, which in turn allows improvement of the risk estimation process.

f. Hedging

The Bank manages its risk from derivatives activity on a portfolio basis. Specific hedges undertaken, if any are ring fenced from the transactions undertaken for trading/market making purposes and held in separate designated portfolio for easy identification and control.

g. Capital requirements for market risk

(In Rs'000)

Particulars	30 Sept 2013
Capital requirement for market risk	
- Interest rate risk	3,215,918
- Foreign exchange risk (including gold)	1,350,000
- Equity risk	41,715
Total	**4,607,633**

4.5 Operational risk-

a. Operational risk management framework

The Head of Operational Risk Management chairs the Operational Risk Management Committee, which is a permanent sub-committee of the Risk ExCo and composed of the operational risk officers from our business divisions and our infrastructure functions. It is the main decision making committee for all operational risk management matters.

While the day-to-day operational risk management lies with the group's business divisions and infrastructure functions, the Operational Risk Management function manages the cross divisional and cross regional operational risk as well as risk concentrations and ensures a consistent application of the group's operational risk management strategy across the bank. Based on this Business Partnership Model the group ensures close monitoring and high awareness of operational risk.

b. Risk management tools

The group manages operational risk based on a Group-wide consistent framework that enables the group to determine the group's operational risk profile in comparison to our risk appetite and systematically identify operational risk themes and concentrations to define risk mitigating measures and priorities. The group applies a number of techniques to efficiently manage the group's operational risk in the business, for example:

—The continuous collection of operational risk loss events is a prerequisite for operational risk management including detailed analyses, definition of mitigating actions and timely information to senior management. We collect all losses above € 10,000 in our "db-Incident Reporting System" ("dbIRS").

—Our Lessons Learned process is required for events, including near misses, above € 1 million. This process includes but is not limited to:
- —systematic risk analyses including a description of the business environment in which the loss occurred, including previous events, near misses and event specific Key Risk Indicators (“KRI”),
- —consideration of any risk management decisions in respect of the specific risk taken,
- —root cause analyses,
- —identification of control improvements and other actions to prevent and/or mitigate recurrence, and
- —assessment of the residual operational risk exposure.

The Lessons Learned process serves as an important mean to identify inherent areas of risk and to define appropriate risk mitigating actions. All corrective actions are captured and monitored for resolution via actions plans in our tracking system “dbTrack”. Performance of all corrective actions is reported on a monthly basis to senior management via the ORMC.

—We systematically utilize information on external events occurring in the banking industry to prevent similar incidents from happening to us, e. g. by particular deep dive analysis or risk profile reviews.

—In addition to internal and external loss information, scenarios are utilized and actions are derived from them. The set of scenarios consists of relevant external scenarios provided by a public database and internal scenarios. The latter are generated to complete our risk profile.

—Regular operational risk profile reports at Group level for our business divisions, for the countries in which we operate and for our infrastructure functions are reviewed and discussed with the department’s senior management. The regular performance of the risk profile reviews enables us to detect changes to the business unit’s risk profiles as well as risk concentrations across the Group early and to take corrective actions.

—We assess and approve the impact of changes to our risk profile as a result of new products, outsourcings, strategic initiatives and acquisitions and divestments.

—Once operational risks are identified, mitigation is required following the “as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP)” principle by balancing the cost of mitigation with the benefits thereof and formally accepting the residual operational risk. Risks which contravene applicable national or international regulations and legislation cannot be accepted; once identified, such risks must always be mitigated.

—We monitor risk mitigating measures identified via operational risk management techniques for resolution within our tracking tool “dbTrack”. Higher than important residual operational risks need to be accepted by the bearing divisions and the ORMC.

—We perform top risk analyses in which the results of the aforementioned activities are considered. The Top Risk Analyses are a primary input for the annual operational risk management strategy and planning process. Besides the operational risk management strategic and tactical planning we define capital and expected loss targets which are monitored on a regular basis within a quarterly forecasting process.

—KRIs are used to monitor the operational risk profile and alert the organization to impending problems in a timely fashion. They allow via our tool “dbScore” the monitoring of the bank’s control culture and business environment and trigger risk mitigating actions. KRIs facilitate the forward looking management of operational risk based on early warning signals returned by the KRIs.

—In our bottom-up Self Assessment (“SA”) process, which is conducted at least annually, areas with high risk potential are highlighted and risk mitigating measures to resolve issues are identified. In general, it is performed in our tool “dbSAT”. On a regular basis we conduct risk workshops aiming to evaluate risks specific to countries and local legal entities we are operating in and take appropriate risk mitigating actions.

Additional methodologies and tools implemented by the responsible divisions are utilized to complement the global operational risk framework and specifically address the individual risk types. These include but are not limited to:

—We have created a new “Legal Risk Management” (“LRM”) function in the Legal Department. This function is exclusively dedicated to the identification and management of legal risk. In addition to being used for reporting purposes, LRM analysis’ are applied: in the context of independent portfolio management/risk

appetite assessment; through remediation of highlighted issues (whether via new or existing initiatives); and also as a further means of Legal's input being a significant decision-making criterion for our businesses. The LRM function has a mandate to undertake a broad variety of tasks aimed at proactively managing legal risk, including: devising, implementing and overseeing an Annual Legal Risk Assessment Program; agreeing and participating in resultant portfolio reviews and mitigation plans; administering the Legal Lessons Learned process (see below); and participating in our Legal Risk Appetite assessment.

—Legal Lessons Learned process: The LRM function is responsible for the Legal Lessons Learned process. On a quarterly basis, LRM receives from the Legal Department (both litigators and business-focussed lawyers) and from Divisional Operational Risk Officers (DOROs) details of potential legal risk issues arising from the Bank's activities. Through discussion between Legal, ORM and the DOROs, any steps necessary to remediate such issues should be identified. These steps are then tracked by ORM to completion.

—The operational risk from Outsourcing is managed by the Vendor Risk Management (VRM) Process and documented in the VRM database. The outsourcing risk is assessed and managed for all outsourcing arrangements individually following the Vendor Risk Management Policy in line with the overall ORM framework. A broad governance structure is established to promote appropriate risk levels.

—Fraud Risk is managed based on section 25a of the German Banking Act as well as other legal and regulatory requirements on a risk based approach, governed by the Global Anti Fraud Policy and corresponding Compliance and Anti-Money-Laundering (AML) framework. In line with regulatory requirements a global risk assessment is performed on a regular basis. Within the general management of operational risks dedicated Fraud Risk relevant aspects are part of the Self Assessments.

—Deutsche Bank manages Business Continuity (BC) Risk with its Business Continuity Management (BCM) Program, which outlines core procedures for the relocation or the recovery of operations in response to varying levels of disruption. Within this program each of our core businesses functions and infrastructure groups institute, maintain and periodically test business continuity plans ("BC Plans") to ensure continuous and reliable service. The BCM Program has defined roles and responsibilities, which are documented in corporate standards. Compliance with these standards is monitored regionally by dedicated business continuity teams. Reporting to the Group Resiliency Committee which is a sub-committee of the Group Operating Committee is a quarterly requirement. Furthermore, key information of the established BCM control environment is used within the general operational risks for KRIs.

—The operational risk in Technology Risk is managed within the technology area following international standards for IT management. Applications and IT infrastructure are catalogued and assessed on a regular basis and stability monitoring is established. Key outcomes of the established assessment and control environment are used within the general management or operational risks for KRIs and SAs.

—We are in process to implement an enhanced approach for assessing material operational risks stemming from process/system changes via an embedded ORM framework for change-the-bank operational risk assessments. Identified risks and mitigating actions will be tracked in Deutsche Bank's systems as mentioned above.

The Group calculate and measure the regulatory and economic capital for operational risk using the internal Advanced Measurement Approach ("AMA") methodology. Our AMA capital calculation is based upon the loss distribution approach ("LDA"). Gross losses from historical internal and external loss data (Operational Riskdata eXchange Association ("ORX") consortium data), adjusted for direct recoveries, and external scenarios from a public database complemented by internal scenario data are used to estimate the risk profile (that is, a loss frequency and a loss severity distribution). Thereafter, the frequency and severity distributions are combined in a Monte Carlo simulation to generate potential losses over a one year time horizon. Finally, the risk mitigating benefits of insurance are applied to each loss generated in the Monte Carlo simulation. Correlation and diversification benefits are applied to the net losses in a manner compatible with regulatory requirements to arrive at a net loss distribution at the Group level covering expected and unexpected losses. Capital is then allocated to each of the business divisions and both a qualitative adjustment ("QA") and an expected loss ("EL") deduction are made.

The qualitative adjustment ("QA") reflects the effectiveness and performance of the day-to-day operational risk management activities via KRIs and Self Assessment scores focusing on the business environment and internal

control factors. The qualitative adjustment is applied as a percentage adjustment to the final capital number. This approach makes qualitative adjustment transparent to the management of the businesses and provides feedback on their risk profile as well as on the success of their management of operational risk. It thus provides incentives for the businesses to continuously improve the management of operational risks in their areas.

The expected loss ("EL") for operational risk is based on historical loss experience and expert judgment considering business changes denoting the expected cost of operational losses for doing business. To the extent it is considered in the divisional business plans it is deducted from the AMA capital figure within certain constraints. The unexpected losses per business division (after QA and EL) are aggregated to produce the Group AMA capital figure.

Regulatory and Economic Capital for Operational Risk is calculated on a quarterly basis. The used internal data is captured in a snapshot at the beginning of the quarterly production cycle and undergoes a quality assurance and sign-off. Therefore, the complete history of previous quarter's internal losses is taken into account in the calculation of the capital figures. ORX external data is submitted by the ORX members and also undergoes a quality assurance and sign-off. This data is recognized in the capital calculation at the earliest time after six months. For the additional external loss data sourced from the IBM OpData (old name OpVantage) we are using the data available twice a year (in the first and third quarters)

Economic capital is derived from the 99.98 % percentile and allocated to the business divisions and used in performance measurement and resource allocation, providing an incentive to manage operational risk, optimizing economic capital utilization. The regulatory capital operational risk applies the 99.9 % percentile.

Since December 2007, the group globally has maintained approval by the BaFin to use the AMA. In 2012, the integration of Postbank into our Group-wide framework was finalized. On May 15, 2013, BaFin approved the integration of Postbank into our regulatory capital calculation, which has been reflected since the second quarter of 2013.

In India, the group uses the Basic Indicator Approach for computing capital for Operational Risk.

5. Interest rate risk in the banking book

The vast majority of the interest rate risk and foreign exchange risk arising from the non-trading assets and liability positions in the Banking book are transferred through internal hedges to the Global Markets Finance business line within the Corporate Banking and Securities Division and is managed on the basis of value-at-risk as reflected in the trading value-at-risk numbers. The treatment of interest rate risk in the Group's trading portfolios and the application of the value-at-risk model is discussed above. The bank considers this risk to be a part of the overall market risk framework.

Counterparty Credit Risk

Credit Limits and Collaterals

Counterparty credit risk (CCR) is the risk that a Bank's counterparty defaults in a FX, interest rate, commodity or credit derivative contract prior to or at the maturity date of the contract and that the Bank at the time has a claim on the counterparty.

The credit risk arising from all financial derivatives is managed as part of the overall credit limits to both financial institutions and other clients and customers.

Exposure values for regulatory capital purposes on over the counter traded products are calculated according to the Current Exposure Method as defined by RBI. This is calculated as the sum of the current replacement cost and the PFE. The current replacement cost is the amount owed by the counterparty to the Bank for various financial derivative transactions. The PFE is an add-on based on a percentage of the notional principal of each transaction. These percentages are prescribed by the RBI in the guidelines and vary according to the underlying asset class and tenor of each trade.

The Bank seeks to negotiate Credit Support Annexes (CSA) to International Swaps and Derivatives Association master agreements with counterparties on a case-by-case basis, where collateral is deemed a necessary or desirable mitigant to the exposure. The credit terms of the CSA are specific to each legal document and

determined by the credit risk approval unit responsible for the counterparty. The nature of the collateral will be specified in the legal document and will typically be cash or highly liquid securities.
A daily operational process takes place to calculate the MTM on all trades captured under the CSA. Additional collateral will be called from the counterparty if total uncollateralised MTM exposure exceeds the threshold and minimum transfer amount specified in the CSA. Additional collateral may be required from the counterparty to provide an extra buffer to the daily variation margin process.
The Bank further reduces its credit exposures to counterparties by entering into contractual netting agreements which result in a single amount owed by or to the counterparty through netting the sum of the positive (amounts owed by the counterparty) and negative (amounts owed by the Bank) MTM values of these transactions.
In India, the Bank follows SA for credit risk and hence no credit reserve is set aside.
However, provisioning for the exposures on derivative contracts is made as per extant RBI guidelines

Wrong Way Risk

Wrong way risk occurs when an exposure increase is coupled with a decrease in the credit quality of the obligor. The Group/Bank employs various policies and procedures to ensure that risk exposures are monitored. For example, as the MTM on a derivative contract increases in favour of the Bank, the counterparty may increasingly be unable to meet its payment, margin call or collateral posting requirements.

Impact of Credit Rating Downgrade

In line with market convention, the Bank negotiates CSA terms for certain counterparties where the thresholds related to each party are dependent on their External Credit Assessment Institution (ECAI) long term rating. Such clauses are typically mutual in nature. It is therefore recognised that a downgrade in the Group's rating could result in counterparties seeking additional collateral calls to cover negative MTM portfolios where thresholds are lowered.

Particulars	30.09.2013
Gross positive fair value of contracts	143,304,665
Netting benefits	-
Netted current credit exposure	143,304,665
Collateral held (including type, e.g. cash, government securities, etc.)	-
Net derivatives credit exposure	143,304,665
Potential future exposure	113,937,202
Measures for exposure at default or exposure amount under CEM	257,241,867
The notional value of credit derivative hedges	-
Distribution of current credit exposure by types of credit exposure:	
- Interest Rates	74,958,071
- Fx	182,283,796

Capital Disclosure template

Table DF-11 Composition of Capital
Part II:Template to be used before March 31,2017
(i.e. during the transition period of Basel III regulatory adjustments)

Rs in '000

	Basel III common disclosure template to be used during the transition of regulatory adjustments	Amount subject to Pre Basel III treatment	Ref No.
	Common Equity Tier 1 capital: instruments and reserves		
1	Directly issued qualifying common share capital plus related stock surplus (share premium)	40,914,087	
2	Retained earnings (includes Capital Reserve, Statutory Reserve and Remittable Surplus retained for CRAR requirements)	28,205,069	**a+b+c**
3	Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves)	-	
4	Directly issued capital subject to phase out from CET1 (only applicable to non joint stock companies)	-	
5	Common share capital issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group CET1)	-	
6	Common Equity Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments	69,119,156	
	Common Equity Tier 1 capital : regulatory adjustments		
7	Prudential valuation adjustments		
8	Goodwill (net of related tax liability)		
9	Intangibles other than mortgage-servicing rights(net of related tax liability)	141,122	**d**
10	Deferred tax assets	1,679,986	**e**
11	Cash-flow hedge reserve		
12	Shortfall of provisions to expected losses		
13	Securitisation gain on sale		
14	Gains and losses due to changes in own credit risk on fair valued liabilities		
15	Defined-benefit pension fund net assets		
16	Investments in own shares (if not already netted off paid-up capital on reported balance sheet)		
17	Reciprocal cross-holdings in common equity		
18	Investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions,where the bank does not own more than 10% of the issued share capital (amount above 10% threshold)		
19	Significant investments in the common stock of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions (amount above 10% threshold)		
20	Mortgage servicing rights4(amount above 10% threshold)		
21	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences5(amount above 10% threshold, net of related tax liability)		
22	Amount exceeding the 15% threshold6		
23	of which : significant investments in the common stock of financial entities		
24	of which : mortgage servicing rights		
25	of which : deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences		
26	National specific regulatory adjustments7(26a+26b+26c+26d)		
26a	of which : Investments in the equity capital of unconsolidated insurance		
	subsidiaries		
26b	of which : Investments in the equity capital of unconsolidated non-financial		
	subsidiaries8		

26c	of which : Shortfall in the equity capital of majority owned financial entities		
	which have not been consolidated with the bank9		
26d	of which : Unamortised pension funds expenditures		
27	Regulatory adjustments applied to Common Equity Tier 1 due to insufficient Additional Tier 1 and Tier 2 to cover deductions		
28	**Total regulatory adjustments to Common equity Tier 1**	1,821,108	
29	**Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1)**	67,298,048	
	Additional Tier 1 capital : instruments		
30	Directly issued qualifying Additional Tier 1 instruments plus related stock surplus (share premium) (31+32)		
31	of which : classified as equity under applicable accounting standards (Perpetual Non-Cumulative Preference Shares)		
32	of which : classified as liabilities under applicable accounting standards (Perpetual debt Instruments)		
33	Directly issued capital instruments subject to phase out from Additional Tier 1		
34	Additional Tier 1 instruments (and CET1 instruments not included in row 5) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group AT1)		
35	of which : instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out		
36	**Additional Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments**	-	
	Additional Tier 1 capital : regulatory adjustments		
37	Investments in own Additional Tier 1 instruments		
38	Reciprocal cross-holdings in Additional Tier 1 instruments		
39	Investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions,where the bank does not own more than 10% of the issued common share capital of the entity (amount above 10% threshold)		
40	Significant investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation (net of eligible short positions)		
41	National specific regulatory adjustments (41a+41b)		
41a	of which : Investments in the Additional Tier 1 capital of unconsolidated insurance subsidiaries		
41b	of which : Shortfall in the Additional Tier 1 capital of majority owned financial entities which have not been consolidated with the bank		
42	Regulatory adjustments applied to Additional Tier 1 due to insufficient Tier 2 to cover deductions		
43	**Total regulatory adjustments to Additional Tier 1 capital**	-	
44	**Additional Tier 1 capital (AT1)**	-	
44a	**Additional Tier 1 capital reckoned for capital adequacy11**	-	
45	**Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + Admissible AT1) (29 + 44a)**	67,298,048	
	Tier 2 capital: instruments and provisions		
46	Directly issued qualifying Tier 2 instruments plus related stock surplus		
47	Directly issued capital instruments subject to phase out from Tier 2		
48	Tier 2 instruments (and CET1 and AT1 instruments not included in rows 5 or 34) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group Tier 2)		
49	of which : instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out		
50	Provisions	3,469,384	
51	**Tier 2 capital before regulatory adjustments**	3,469,384	
	Tier 2 capital: regulatory adjustments		
52	Investments in own Tier 2 instruments		

53	Reciprocal cross-holdings in Tier 2 instruments		
54	Investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions, where the bank does not own more than 10% of the issued common share capital of the entity (amount above the 10% threshold)		
55	Significant investments13in the capital banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation (net of eligible short positions)		
56	National specific regulatory adjustments (56a+56b)		
56a	of which : Investments in the Tier 2 capital of unconsolidated insurance subsidiaries		
56b	of which : Shortfall in the Tier 2 capital of majority owned financial entities which have not been consolidated with the bank		
57	**Total regulatory adjustments to Tier 2 capital**	-	
58	**Tier 2 capital (T2)**	3,469,384	
58a	**Tier 2 capital reckoned for capital adequacy**	3,469,384	
58b	**Excess Additional Tier 1 capital reckoned as Tier 2 capital**	-	
58c	**Total Tier 2 capital admissible for capital adequacy (58a + 58b)**	3,469,384	
59	**Total capital (TC = T1 + Admissible T2) (45 + 58c)**	70,767,432	
60	**Total risk weighted assets (60a + 60b + 60c)**	523,357,224	
60a	of which : total credit risk weighted assets	428,391,220	
60b	of which : total market risk weighted assets	51,195,926	
60c	of which : total operational risk weighted assets	43,770,078	
	Capital ratios		
61	Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	12.86%	
62	Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	12.86%	
63	Total capital (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	13.52%	
64	Institution specific buffer requirement (minimum CET1 requirement plus capital conservation plus countercyclical buffer requirements plus G-SIB buffer requirement, expressed as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	-	
65	of which : capital conservation buffer requirement	-	
66	of which : bank specific countercyclical buffer requirement	-	
67	of which : G-SIB buffer requirement	-	
68	Common Equity Tier 1 available to meet buffers (as a percentage of risk weighted assets)	-	
	National minima (if different from Basel III)		
69	National Common Equity Tier 1 minimum ratio (if different from Basel III minimum)	5.50%	
70	National Tier 1 minimum ratio (if different from Basel III minimum)	7.00%	
71	National total capital minimum ratio (if different from Basel III minimum)	9.00%	
	Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (before risk weighting)		
72	Non-significant investments in the capital of other financial entities	-	
73	Significant investments in the common stock of financial entities	-	
74	Mortgage servicing rights (net of related tax liability)	-	
75	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability)	-	

	Applicable caps on the inclusion of provisions in Tier 2		
76	Provisions eligible for inclusion in Tier 2 in respect of exposures subject to standardised approach (prior to application of cap)	3,469,385	**f+g+h+i**
77	Cap on inclusion of provisions in Tier 2 under standardised approach	5,354,890	
78	Provisions eligible for inclusion in Tier 2 in respect of exposures subject to internal ratings-based approach (prior to application of cap)	-	
79	Cap for inclusion of provisions in Tier 2 under internal ratings-based approach	-	

STEP1 **Table DF-12 : Composition of Capital**

					(Rs. in ‘000)	
				Balance sheet As in financial statements	Balance sheet under regulatory scope of consolidation	
			Particulars	As on reporting date	As on reporting Date	Ref
A	**Capital & Liabilities**					
	i.		Paid-up Capital	40,914,087	40,914,087	
			Reserves & Surplus	41,540,795	41,540,795	
			Minority Interest	-	-	
			Total Capital	**82,454,882**	**82,454,882**	
	ii.		Deposits	**274,887,142**	**274,887,142**	
			of which : Deposits from banks	812,464	812,464	
			of which : Customer deposits	274,074,678	274,074,678	
			of which : Other deposits (pl. specify)			
	iii.		Borrowings	**48,575,015**	**48,575,015**	
			of which : From RBI	1,230,000	1,230,000	
			of which : From banks	27,148,685	27,148,685	
			of which : From other institutions & agencies	20,196,330	20,196,330	
			of which : Others (pl. specify)			
			of which : Capital instruments			
	iv.		Other liabilities & provisions	69,597,474	69,597,474	
	Total			**475,514,513**	**475,514.513**	
B	**Assets**					
	i.		Cash and balances with Reserve Bank of India	18,472,347	18,472,347	
			Balance with banks and money at call and short notice	2,246,806	2,246,806	
	ii.		Investments :	**127,568,539**	**127,568,539**	
			of which : Government securities	111,227,048	111,227,048	
			of which : Other approved securities			
			of which : Shares	206,001	206,001	
			of which : Debentures & Bonds	5,682,458	5,682,458	
			of which : Subsidiaries / Joint Ventures / Associates			
			of which : Others (Commercial Papers, Mutual Funds	10,453,032	10,453,032	

		etc.)			
	iii.	Loans and advances	**257,400,267**	**257,400,267**	
		of which : Loans and advances to banks	1,062,742	1,062,742	
		of which : Loans and advances to customers	256,337,524	256,337,524	
	iv.	Fixed assets	2,007,454	2,007,454	
	v.	Other assets	**67,819,100**	**67,819,100**	
		of which : Goodwill and intangible assets	141,122	141,122	
		of which : Deferred tax assets	1,674,142	1,674,142	
	vi.	Goodwill on consolidation	0	0	
	vii.	Debit balance in Profit & Loss account			
Total Assets			**475,514,513**	**475,514.513**	

STEP2

				(Rs. in 000)	
			Balance sheet As in financial statements	Balance sheet under regulatory scope of consolidation	
			As on reporting Date	As on reporting date	Ref
A	**Capital & Liabilities**		**475,514,513**	**475,514,513**	
	i.	Paid-up Capital	40,914,087	40,914,087	
		of which : Amount eligibile for CET1			
		of which : Amount eligibile for AT1	0.00	0.00	
		Reserves & Surplus	**41,540,795**	**41,540,795**	
		Of which: Capital Reserve	177,207	177,207	**a**
		Of which: Statutory Reserve	13,646,869	13,646,869	**b**
		Of which: Remittable Surplus retained for CRAR requirements	14,380,993	14,380,993	**c**
		Minority Interest			
		Total Capital	**82,454,882**	**82,454,882**	
	ii.	Deposits	**274,887,142**	**274,887,142**	
		of which : Deposits from banks	812,464	812,464	
		of which : Customer deposits	274,074,678	274,074,678	
		of which : Other deposits (pl. specify)	0.00	0.00	
	iii.	Borrowings	**48,575,015**	**48,575,015**	
		of which : From RBI	1,230,000	1,230,000	
		of which : From banks	27,148,685	27,148,685	
		of which : From other institutions & agencies	20,196,330	20,196,330	
		of which : Others (pl. specify)	0.00	0.00	
		of which : Capital instruments	0.00	0.00	
	iv.	Other liabilities & provisions	**69,597,474**	**69,597,474**	
		of which : DTLs related to goodwill	-	-	
		of which : DTLs related to intangible assets	-	-	
		of which: Investment Reserve	366,784	366,784	**f**
		of which: Provision on Standard Assets & Country Risk	1,812,712	1,812,712	**g**
		of which: General Loan Loss Provision	712,260	712,260	**h**
		of which: NPA Provision reversal on sale of NPA	577,628	577,628	**i**
	Total				
B	**Assets**				

	i.	Cash and balances with Reserve Bank of India	18,472,347	18,472,347	
		Balance with banks and money at call and short notice	2,246,806	2,246,806	
	ii.	Investments :	**127,568,539**	**127,568,539**	
		of which : Government securities	111,227,048	111,227,048	
		of which : Other approved securities	0.00	0.00	
		of which : Shares	206,001	206,001	
		of which : Debentures & Bonds	5,682,458	5,682,458	
		of which : Subsidiaries / Joint Ventures / Associates	0.00	0.00	
		of which : Others (Commercial Papers, Mutual Funds etc.)	10,453,032	10,453,032	
	iii.	Loans and advances	**257,400,267**	**257,400,267**	
		of which : Loans and advances to banks	1,062,742	1,062,742	
		of which : Loans and advances to customers	256,337,524	256,337,524	
	iv.	Fixed assets	2,007,454	2,007,454	
	v.	Other assets	**67,819,100**	**67,819,100**	
		of which : Goodwill and intangible assets	141,122	141,122	**d**
		Out of which :			
		Goodwill	-	-	
		Other intangibles (excluding MSRs)	141,122	141,122	
		Deferred tax assets	1,674,142	1,674,142	**e**
	vi.	Goodwill on consolidation			
	vii.	Debit balance in Profit & Loss account			
Total Assets			**475,514,513**	**475,514,513**	

Regulatory Capital Instruments: The Bank has not issued any Regulatory Capital Instruments during the period. Regulatory capital increases have taken place via capital infusion from our Head Office and retention of Remittable Surplus for CRAR Requirement.

Disclosure Requirements for Remuneration: In accordance with the requirements of the RBI Circular No. DBOD.NO.BC. 72/29.67/001/2011-12 dated 13 January 2012, the Asia Pacific Head Office of the Bank has submitted a declaration to RBI that the Bank's compensation policies including that of CEO's, is in conformity with the Financial Stability Board principles and standards